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Introduction
- Individuals with fragile X syndrome (FXS) experience significant weaknesses in the development of grammatical language (see Abbeduto, Brady, & Kover, 2007; McDuffie & Abbeduto, 2009; Rice, Warren, & Betz, 2005).
- There is no consensus regarding the language profile of FXS due to inconsistencies in research, which may be due to differences in language sampling contexts across studies.
- Conversational language samples tend to yield a higher rate of utterances than narrative contexts, though narrative contexts elicit a greater mean length of utterance.
- The Index of Productive Syntax (IPSyn; Scarborough, 1990) and Developmental Sentence Score (DSS; Lee, 1974) are two measures which can be used to analyze grammatical language based on language samples that will help us to better understand the language profiles of individuals with FXS.

Study Aim – to compare conversational and narrative language abilities of children with FXS in the context of the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) and a traditional conversation sample using IPSyn and DSS analyses.

Participants
- 20 males with FXS between the ages of 9 and 16 years. Additional characteristics are outlined below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronological Age (years)</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of SLP Intervention</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiter Nonverbal IQ Composite</td>
<td>48.05</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS Severity</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Conversation Sample         | Total Utterances | 164.70 | 28.26 | 100.0 | 215.0 |
|                            | MLU Morphemes    | 4.11   | 1.18  | 2.16  | 6.41  |
| ADOS Conversation Sample    | Total Utterances | 517.40 | 164.34 | 288.0 | 805.0 |
|                            | MLU Morphemes    | 3.55   | 0.85  | 2.01  | 5.08  |
| ADOS Narrative Sample       | Total Utterances | 123.55 | 71.33 | 53.0  | 339.0 |
|                            | MLU Morphemes    | 3.83   | 1.04  | 2.57  | 6.54  |

Household Income
- $< 25,000: 2
- $25,000 - $75,000: 4
- $75,000 - $100,000: 4
- $100,000 - $150,000: 7
- $> 150,000: 3

Method
- Language samples were collected as part of a larger study focused on FXS (Sterling, 2018).
- Participants completed the ADOS (Lord, Risi, Lambrecht, et al., 2000), Module 2 or Module 3.

Method cont.
- Participants also completed traditional interview-style conversational language samples.
- All samples were transcribed. The ADOS samples were then segmented by context: Conversational Tasks or Narrative Tasks.

ADOS-Conversation ADOS-Narrative
- Birthday Party²
- Break³
- Bubble Play²
- Conversation¹/²
- Reporting a Nonroutine Event¹
- Construction Task²/³
- Description of a Picture²/³
- Emotions²
- Free Play²
- Friends, Relationships, and Marriage³
- Joint-Interactive Play²/³
- Loneliness¹
- Make-Believe Play²/³
- Response to Joint Attention²
- Response to Name²
- Social Difficulties and Annoyance²
- Snack²
- *All spontaneous language between tasks

IPSyn Variables
- WH-Questions
- WH-Questions/Reversals
- Interrogative Reversals
- Interrogative Reversals/WH Questions

DSS Variables
- Indefinite Pronoun
- Personal Pronoun
- Main Verb
- Secondary Verb
- Negation
- Comprehension
- Interrogative Reversals
- WH-Questions
- Developmental Sentence Score

Results: IPSyn
- DSS scores for Personal Pronoun and Developmental Sentence Score indicate that the traditional conversational context scores are significantly greater than scores in the ADOS-Narrative context.
- All other DSS sub-scores did not reveal any significant differences.

Conclusions
- IPSyn and DSS score trends differed between conversational and narrative contexts, with IPSyn measures derived from the ADOS-Narrative context yielding the most complex language of the three contexts. These findings support previous research in the field.
- DSS measures displayed opposing results, suggesting that traditional conversational contexts elicit more complex language.
- Further study will allow researchers to better understand how context affects the language output of individuals with FXS.
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Note. Superscripts denote ADOS Module.

IPSyn Results: IPSyn Variables differ significantly between contexts.

* Indicates significant difference between contexts
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